

# **CS 4803-DL / 7643-A: LECTURE 23**

## **DANFEI XU**

Topics:

- Reinforcement Learning Part 1
  - Markov Decision Processes
  - Value Iteration
  - (Deep) Q Learning

## **Administrative**

- HW4 is due EOD 11/18. Grace period ends 11/20

# **Reinforcement Learning Introduction**

## Supervised Learning


- Train Input:  $\{X, Y\}$
- Learning output:  
 $f : X \rightarrow Y, P(y|x)$
- e.g. classification



→  
Sheep  
Dog  
Cat  
Lion  
Giraffe


## Unsupervised Learning

- Input:  $\{X\}$
- Learning output:  $P(x)$
- Example: Clustering, density estimation, generative modeling



## Reinforcement Learning

- Evaluative feedback in the form of **reward**
- No supervision on the right action



Types of Machine Learning

**RL:** Sequential decision making in an environment with evaluative feedback.





Figure Credit: Rich Sutton

- ◆ **Environment** may be unknown, non-linear, stochastic and complex.
- ◆ **Agent** learns a **policy** to map states of the environments to actions.
  - ◆ Seeking to maximize cumulative reward in the long run.

What is Reinforcement Learning?

# Example: Robot Locomotion




Figures copyright John Schulman et al., 2016. Reproduced with permission.

- ◆ **Objective:** Make the robot move forward without falling
- ◆ **State:** Angle and position of the joints
- ◆ **Action:** Torques applied on joints
- ◆ **Reward:** +1 at each time step upright and moving forward

Slide Credit: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n

Examples of RL tasks

## Example: Robot Manipulation



- **Objective:** Pick up object and place to sorting bin
- **State:** Pose of the object and the bin, joint state and velocity of robots
- **Action:** End effector motion
- **Reward:** inverse distance between the object and the bin

Examples of RL tasks

## Example: Atari Games




- ◆ **Objective:** Complete the game with the highest score
- ◆ **State:** Raw pixel inputs of the game state
- ◆ **Action:** Game controls e.g. Left, Right, Up, Down
- ◆ **Reward:** Score increase/decrease at each time step

Figures copyright Volodymyr Mnih et al., 2013. Reproduced with permission.

Slide Credit: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n

Examples of RL tasks

## Example: Go



- ◆ **Objective:** Defeat opponent
- ◆ **State:** Board pieces
- ◆ **Action:** Where to put next piece down
- ◆ **Reward:** +1 if win at the end of game,  
0 otherwise

Slide Credit: Fei-Fei Li, Justin Johnson, Serena Yeung, CS 231n

Examples of RL tasks

# Deep Learning for Decision Making




Deep Learning for Decision Making

# Deep Learning for Decision Making



Problem: we don't know the correct action label to supervise the output!


# Deep Learning for Decision Making



Problem: we don't know the correct action label to supervise the output!

All we know is the step-wise task reward

# Deep Learning for Decision Making



Problem: we don't know the correct action label to supervise the output!

All we know is the step-wise task reward

# Markov Decision Processes

- ◆ MDPs: Theoretical framework underlying RL

- ◆ **MDPs**: Theoretical framework underlying RL
- ◆ An MDP is defined as a tuple  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}, \mathbb{T}, \gamma)$

$\mathcal{S}$  : Set of possible states

$\mathcal{A}$  : Set of possible actions

$\mathcal{R}(s, a, s')$  : Distribution of reward

$\mathbb{T}(s, a, s')$  : Transition probability distribution, also written as  $p(s'|s, a)$


$\gamma$  : Discount factor

- ◆ **MDPs**: Theoretical framework underlying RL
- ◆ An MDP is defined as a tuple  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}, \mathbb{T}, \gamma)$ 
  - $\mathcal{S}$  : Set of possible states
  - $\mathcal{A}$  : Set of possible actions
  - $\mathcal{R}(s, a, s')$  : Distribution of reward
  - $\mathbb{T}(s, a, s')$  : Transition probability distribution, also written as  $p(s'|s, a)$
  - $\gamma$  : Discount factor
- ◆ **Experience**:  $\dots, s_t, a_t, r_{t+1}, s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}, r_{t+2}, s_{t+2}, \dots$

- ◆ **MDPs**: Theoretical framework underlying RL
- ◆ An MDP is defined as a tuple  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}, \mathbb{T}, \gamma)$ 
  - $\mathcal{S}$  : Set of possible states
  - $\mathcal{A}$  : Set of possible actions
  - $\mathcal{R}(s, a, s')$  : Distribution of reward
  - $\mathbb{T}(s, a, s')$  : Transition probability distribution, also written as  $p(s'|s, a)$
  - $\gamma$  : Discount factor
- ◆ **Experience**:  $\dots, s_t, a_t, r_{t+1}, s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}, r_{t+2}, s_{t+2}, \dots$
- ◆ **Markov property**: Current state completely characterizes state of the environment
- ◆ **Assumption**: Most recent observation is a sufficient statistic of history
$$p(S_{t+1} = s' | S_t = s_t, A_t = a_t, S_{t-1} = s_{t-1}, \dots, S_0 = s_0) = p(S_{t+1} = s' | S_t = s_t, A_t = a_t)$$

## Fully observed MDP

- Agent receives the true state  $s_t$  at time t
- Example: Chess, Go



## Partially observed MDP

- Agent perceives its own partial observation  $o_t$  of the state  $s_t$  at time t, using past states e.g. with an RNN
- Example: Poker, First-person games (e.g. Doom)



Source: <https://github.com/mwydmuch/ViZDoom>


## Fully observed MDP

- Agent receives the true state  $s_t$  at time t
- Example: Chess, Go

## Partially observed MDP

- Agent perceives its own partial observation  $o_t$  of the state  $s_t$  at time t, using past

We will assume **fully observed MDPs** for this lecture



Source: <https://github.com/mwydmuch/ViZDoom>

- ◆ In **Reinforcement Learning**, we assume an underlying **MDP** with unknown:
  - ◆ Transition probability distribution  $\mathbb{T}$
  - ◆ Reward distribution  $\mathcal{R}$

MDP  
 $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}, \mathbb{T}, \gamma)$

- ◆ In **Reinforcement Learning**, we assume an underlying **MDP** with unknown:
  - ◆ Transition probability distribution  $\mathbb{T}$
  - ◆ Reward distribution  $\mathcal{R}$

MDP  
 $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}, \mathbb{T}, \gamma)$

Put simply: without learning, the agent doesn't know how their actions will change the environment and what reward they will receive.

Reinforcement Learning is to learn to act optimally given experience data (transition, reward) from interacting with the environments.

The outcome is a control policy  $\pi(a|s)$  that maps a state  $s$  to a (good) action  $a$




Figure credits: Pieter Abbeel

## A Grid World MDP

- Agent lives in a 2D grid environment




Figure credits: Pieter Abbeel

- Agent lives in a 2D grid environment
- State: Agent's 2D coordinates
- Actions: N, E, S, W
- Rewards: +1/-1 at absorbing states




Figure credits: Pieter Abbeel

- Agent lives in a 2D grid environment
- State: Agent's 2D coordinates
- Actions: N, E, S, W
- Rewards: +1/-1 at absorbing states
- Walls block agent's path
- Actions do not always go as planned
  - 20% chance that agent drifts one cell left or right of direction of motion (except when blocked by wall).




Figure credits: Pieter Abbeel

- ◆ Solving MDPs by finding the **best/optimal policy**

- ◆ Solving MDPs by finding the **best/optimal policy**
- ◆ Formally, a **policy** is a mapping from states to actions

e.g.

State	Action
A	→ 2
B	→ 1

- ◆ Solving MDPs by finding the **best/optimal policy**
- ◆ Formally, a **policy** is a mapping from states to actions
  - ◆ Deterministic  $\pi(s) = a$

- ◆ Solving MDPs by finding the **best/optimal policy**
- ◆ Formally, a **policy** is a mapping from states to actions
  - ◆ Deterministic  $\pi(s) = a$
  - ◆ Stochastic  $\pi(a|s) = \mathbb{P}(A_t = a|S_t = s)$

- ◆ Solving MDPs by finding the **best/optimal policy**
- ◆ Formally, a **policy** is a mapping from states to actions
  - ◆ Deterministic  $\pi(s) = a$
  - ◆ Stochastic  $\pi(a|s) = \mathbb{P}(A_t = a|S_t = s)$
- ◆ What is a good policy?
  - ◆ Maximize **current reward?** Sum of all **future rewards?**

- ◆ Solving MDPs by finding the **best/optimal policy**
- ◆ Formally, a **policy** is a mapping from states to actions
  - ◆ Deterministic  $\pi(s) = a$
  - ◆ Stochastic  $\pi(a|s) = \mathbb{P}(A_t = a|S_t = s)$

- ◆ What is a good policy?
  - ◆ Maximize **current reward?** Sum of all **future rewards?**
  - ◆ **Discounted sum of future rewards!**
  - ◆ How much to value future rewards
    - ◆ Discount factor:  $\gamma$
    - ◆ Typically 0.9 - 0.99



1



$\gamma$



$\gamma^2$

Worth Now

Worth Next Step

Worth In Two Steps

- ◆ Solving MDPs by finding the **best/optimal policy**
- ◆ Formally, a **policy** is a mapping from states to actions
  - ◆ Deterministic  $\pi(s) = a$
  - ◆ Stochastic  $\pi(a|s) = \mathbb{P}(A_t = a|S_t = s)$
- ◆ What is a good policy?

- ◆ Maximize **current reward?** Sum of all **future rewards?**
- ◆ **Discounted sum of future rewards!**
- ◆ How much to value future rewards
  - ◆ Discount factor:  $\gamma$
  - ◆ Typically 0.9 - 0.99



1

Worth Now



$\gamma$

Worth Next Step



$\gamma^2$

Worth In Two Steps

- ◆ Formally, the **optimal policy** is defined as:

$$\pi^* = \arg \max_{\pi} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma^t r_t | \pi \right]$$

- Formally, the **optimal policy** is defined as:

$$\pi^* = \arg \max_{\pi} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma^t r_t | \pi \right]$$

discounted sum of future rewards

The diagram consists of three arrows. A blue arrow points from the word 'discounted' to the summation symbol ( $\sum$ ). An orange arrow points from the word 'future' to the discount factor  $\gamma^t$ . A green arrow points from the word 'rewards' to the reward term  $r_t$ .

- Formally, the **optimal policy** is defined as:

$$\pi^* = \arg \max_{\pi} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma^t r_t | \pi \right]$$

discounted sum of future rewards

?

- Formally, the **optimal policy** is defined as:

$$\pi^* = \arg \max_{\pi} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma^t r_t | \pi \right]$$

discounted sum of future rewards

$$s_0 \sim p(s_0), a_t \sim \pi(\cdot | s_t), s_{t+1} \sim p(\cdot | s_t, a_t)$$

Expectation over initial state, actions from policy,  
next states from transition distribution

- ◆ A **value function** predicts the sum of discounted future reward **for a given policy**

- ◆ A **value function** predicts the sum of discounted future reward **for a given policy**
- ◆ **State** value function / V-function /  $V : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ 
  - ◆ How good is this state?
  - ◆ Am I likely to win/lose the game from this state (reward-to-go)?

- ◆ A **value function** predicts the sum of discounted future reward **for a given policy**
- ◆ **State** value function / **V**-function /  $V : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ 
  - ◆ How good is this state?
  - ◆ Am I likely to win/lose the game from this state (reward-to-go)?
- ◆ **State-Action** value function / **Q**-function /  $Q : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ 
  - ◆ How good is this state-action pair?
  - ◆ In this state, what is the impact of this action on my future?

- ◆ For a policy that produces a trajectory sample  $(s_0, a_0, s_1, a_1, s_2 \dots)$

- ◆ For a policy that produces a trajectory sample  $(s_0, a_0, s_1, a_1, s_2 \dots)$
- ◆ The **V-function** of the policy at state  $s$ , is the expected cumulative reward from state  $s$ :

$$V^\pi(s) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma^t r_t | s_0 = s, \pi \right]$$

- For a policy that produces a trajectory sample  $(s_0, a_0, s_1, a_1, s_2 \dots)$
- The **V-function** of the policy at state  $s$ , is the expected cumulative reward from state  $s$ :

$$V^\pi(s) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma^t r_t | s_0 = s, \pi \right]$$

$$s_0 \sim p(s_0), a_t \sim \pi(\cdot | s_t), s_{t+1} \sim p(\cdot | s_t, a_t)$$

- ◆ For a policy that produces a trajectory sample  $(s_0, a_0, s_1, a_1, s_2 \dots)$
- ◆ The **Q-function** of the policy at state **s** and action **a**, is the expected cumulative reward upon taking action **a** in state **s** (and following policy thereafter):

- For a policy that produces a trajectory sample  $(s_0, a_0, s_1, a_1, s_2 \dots)$
- The **Q-function** of the policy at state **s** and action **a**, is the expected cumulative reward upon taking action **a** in state **s** (and following policy thereafter):

$$Q^\pi(s, a) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma^t r_t | s_0 = s, a_0 = a, \pi \right]$$

$$s_0 \sim p(s_0), a_t \sim \pi(\cdot | s_t), s_{t+1} \sim p(\cdot | s_t, a_t)$$

- For a policy that produces a trajectory sample  $(s_0, a_0, s_1, a_1, s_2 \dots)$
- The **Q-function** of the policy at state **s** and action **a**, is the expected cumulative reward upon taking action **a** in state **s** (and following policy thereafter):

$$Q^\pi(s, a) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma^t r_t | s_0 = s, a_0 = a, \pi \right]$$

$$s_0 \sim p(s_0), a_t \sim \pi(\cdot | s_t), s_{t+1} \sim p(\cdot | s_t, a_t)$$

- The V and Q functions corresponding to the optimal policy  $\pi^*$

$$V^*(s) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma^t r_t | s_0 = s, \pi^* \right]$$

$$Q^*(s, a) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma^t r_t | s_0 = s, a_0 = a, \pi^* \right]$$

$$V^*(s) = \max_a Q^*(s, a)$$

Optimal policy from Q value function:  $\pi^*(s) = \arg \max_a Q^*(s, a)$

- The V and Q functions corresponding to the optimal policy  $\pi^*$

$$V^*(s) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma^t r_t | s_0 = s, \pi^* \right]$$

$$Q^*(s, a) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma^t r_t | s_0 = s, a_0 = a, \pi^* \right]$$

$$V^*(s) = \max_a Q^*(s, a)$$

How do we learn the value functions?

Optimal policy from Q value function:

$$\pi^*(s) = \arg \max_a Q^*(s, a)$$

- ◆ Equations relating optimal quantities

$$V^*(s) = \max_a Q^*(s, a)$$

$$\pi^*(s) = \arg \max_a Q^*(s, a)$$

- ◆ Recursive Bellman optimality equation

- ◆ Equations relating optimal quantities

$$V^*(s) = \max_a Q^*(s, a)$$

$$\pi^*(s) = \arg \max_a Q^*(s, a)$$

- ◆ Recursive Bellman optimality equation

$$\begin{aligned}Q^*(s, a) &= \mathbb{E}_{s' \sim p(s'|s, a)} [r(s, a) + \gamma V^*(s)] \\&= \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) [r(s, a) + \gamma V^*(s)] \\&= \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) \left[ r(s, a) + \gamma \max_a Q^*(s', a') \right]\end{aligned}$$

- ◆ Equations relating optimal quantities

$$V^*(s) = \max_a Q^*(s, a)$$

$$\pi^*(s) = \arg \max_a Q^*(s, a)$$

- ◆ Recursive Bellman optimality equation

$$\begin{aligned}Q^*(s, a) &= \mathbb{E}_{s' \sim p(s'|s, a)} [r(s, a) + \gamma V^*(s)] \\&= \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) [r(s, a) + \gamma V^*(s)] \\&= \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) \left[ r(s, a) + \gamma \max_a Q^*(s', a') \right]\end{aligned}$$

$$V^*(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) [r(s, a) + \gamma V^*(s')]$$

$$V^*(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) [r(s, a) + \gamma V^*(s')]$$

$$V^*(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) [r(s, a) + \gamma V^*(s')]$$

**Goal:** Construct (learn) a value function  $V^*$  that maps states to values.

$$V^*(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) [r(s, a) + \gamma V^*(s')]$$

**Goal:** Construct (learn) a value function  $V^*$  that maps states to values.

**Fact:** If a value function  $V^*$  is correct, then this equation should hold exactly.

$$V^*(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) [r(s, a) + \gamma V^*(s')]$$

**Goal:** Construct (learn) a value function  $V^*$  that maps states to values.

**Fact:** If a value function  $V^*$  is correct, then this equation should hold exactly.

If the value function is incorrect, we can use this equation to update the value estimate.

$$V^*(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) [r(s, a) + \gamma V^*(s')]$$

**Goal:** Construct (learn) a value function  $V^*$  that maps states to values.

**Fact:** If a value function  $V^*$  is correct, then this equation should hold exactly.

If the value function is incorrect, we can use this equation to update the value estimate.


$$V^{i+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_a \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) [r(s, a) + \gamma V^i(s')]$$

$$V^{i+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_a \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) [r(s, a) + \gamma V^i(s')]$$

## Initialize Value Function table

For each iteration  $i$ :

- For each state  $s$ :
  - For each action  $a$ :
    - Get reward  $r(s, a)$
    - For each possible future states  $s'$ :
      - Get current  $V(s')$  from table
      - Compute the expectation term
    - Select the highest future value
    - Update new  $V(s)$



<https://developer.nvidia.com/blog/deep-learning-nutshell-reinforcement-learning/>

## Algorithm: Value Iteration

- ◆ Initialize values of all states to arbitrary values, e.g., all 0's.
- ◆ While not converged:
  - ◆ For each state:
- ◆ Repeat until convergence (no change in values)

$$V^{i+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_a \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) [r(s, a) + \gamma V^i(s')]$$

$$V^0 \rightarrow V^1 \rightarrow V^2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow V^i \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow V^*$$

Time complexity per iteration  $O(|\mathcal{S}|^2|\mathcal{A}|)$

## Value Iteration Update:

$$V^{i+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_a \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) [r(s, a) + \gamma V^i(s')]$$


## Q-Iteration Update:

$$Q^{i+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) [r(s, a) + \gamma \max_{a'} Q^i(s', a')]$$


The algorithm is same as value iteration, but it loops over actions as well as states

# Value iteration is almost never used in practice!

Time complexity per iteration  $O(|\mathcal{S}|^2|\mathcal{A}|)$



$$|\mathcal{S}| = 11, |\mathcal{A}| = 4$$



$$|\mathcal{S}| \cong 3^{361}, |\mathcal{A}| \cong 361$$



$$|\mathcal{S}| \cong ?, |\mathcal{A}| = ?$$

Can't iterate over all  $(s, a)$  pairs -> need approximation!

We also don't know the transition function (model) -> need a *model-free* method!

# Q-Learning

- We'd like to do Q-value updates to each Q-state:

$$Q'(s_t, a_t) \cong \sum_{s'} T(s_{t+1}|s_t, a_t)[r_t + \gamma \max_a Q(s_{t+1}, a)]$$

- But can't compute this update without knowing the transition function and enumerate all possible next states  $s'$ !
- Instead, approximate the expectation (sum over next states) with (lots of) experience samples
  - Take an action in the environment following *policy*  $\text{argmax}_a Q(s, a)$
  - receive a sample transition  $(s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1})$
  - This sample suggests:  $Q(s_t, a_t) \cong r_t + \gamma \max_a Q(s_{t+1}, a)$
  - Keep a running average to approximate the expectation:

$$Q'(s_t, a_t) = (1 - \alpha)Q(s_t, a_t) + \alpha[r_t + \gamma \max_a Q(s_{t+1}, a)]$$

# Q-Learning

Approximate the expectation (sum over next states) with (lots of) experience samples

- Take an action in the environment following *policy*  $\text{argmax}_a Q(s, a)$
- receive a sample transition  $(s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1})$
- This sample suggests:  $Q(s_t, a_t) \cong r_t + \gamma \max_a Q(s_{t+1}, a)$
- Keep a running average to approximate the expectation:

$$Q'(s_t, a_t) = (1 - \alpha)Q(s_t, a_t) + \alpha[r_t + \gamma \max_a Q(s_{t+1}, a)]$$

- We can now learn Q values without having access to a transition model
- Getting experience data through interaction instead of assuming access to all states: more practical in real-world situation (e.g., robots learning through trial-and-error)
- Still need to represent all  $(s, a)$  pairs in a Q value table!

# Q-Learning

Idea: represent the Q value table as a parametric function  $Q_\theta(s, a)$ !

How do we learn the function?

$$\begin{aligned} Q'(s_t, a_t) &= (1 - \alpha)Q(s_t, a_t) + \alpha[r_t + \gamma \max_a Q(s_{t+1}, a)] \\ &= Q(s_t, a_t) + \alpha(r_t + \gamma \max_a Q(s_{t+1}, a) - Q(s_t, a_t)) \end{aligned}$$

Now, at optimum,  $Q(s_t, a_t) = Q'(s_t, a_t) = Q^*(s_t, a_t)$ ; This gives us:

$$0 = 0 + \alpha(r_t + \gamma \max_a Q(s_{t+1}, a) - Q(s_t, a_t))$$

Learning problem:

$$\text{argmin}_\theta \left| \left| \underbrace{r_t + \gamma \max_a Q_\theta(s_{t+1}, a)}_{\text{Target Q value}} - Q_\theta(s_t, a_t) \right| \right|$$

Target Q value

How to model Q?

# Deep Q-Learning

## ◆ Q-Learning with linear function approximators

$$Q(s, a; w, b) = w_a^\top s + b_a$$


Value per action dim

FC-4 (Q-values)

FC-256

32 4x4 conv, stride 2

16 8x8 conv, stride 4



- ◆ Has some theoretical guarantees
- ◆ Deep Q-Learning: Fit a **deep Q-Network**

$$Q(s, a; \theta)$$

- ◆ Works well in practice
- ◆ Q-Network can take arbitrary input (e.g. RGB images)
- ◆ Assume discrete action space (e.g., left, right)

- Assume we have collected a dataset:


$$\{(s, a, s', r)_i\}_{i=1}^N$$

- We want a Q-function that satisfies bellman optimality (Q-value)

$$Q^*(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_{s' \sim p(s'|s, a)} \left[ r(s, a) + \gamma \max_{a'} Q^*(s', a') \right]$$

- Loss for a single data point:

$$\text{MSE Loss} := \left( \underbrace{Q_{new}(s, a)}_{\text{Predicted Q-Value}} - \underbrace{(r + \gamma \max_a Q_{old}(s', a))}_{\text{Target Q-Value}} \right)^2$$


- Minibatch of  $\{(s, a, s', r)_i\}_{i=1}^B$
- Forward pass:  


State  $\rightarrow$  Q-Network  $\rightarrow$  Q-Values per action

$B \times D$   $\quad \quad \quad B \times n_{actions}$
- Compute loss:  

$$\left( \underbrace{Q_{new}(s, a)}_{\theta_{new}} - \left( r + \gamma \max_a \underbrace{Q_{old}(s', a)}_{\theta_{old}} \right) \right)^2$$
- Backward pass:  

$$\frac{\partial Loss}{\partial \theta_{new}}$$



$$\text{MSE Loss} := \left( Q_{\text{new}}(s, a) - (r + \max_a Q_{\text{old}}(s', a)) \right)^2$$


- ◆ In practice, for stability:
  - ◆ Freeze  $Q_{\text{old}}$  and update  $Q_{\text{new}}$  parameters
  - ◆ Set  $Q_{\text{old}} \leftarrow Q_{\text{new}}$  at regular intervals or update as running average
    - ◆  $\theta_{\text{old}} = \beta\theta_{\text{old}} + (1 - \beta)\theta_{\text{new}}$

## How to gather experience?

$$\{(s, a, s', r)_i\}_{i=1}^N$$

This is why RL is hard

$\pi_{\text{gather}}$  → Environment → Data  $\{(s, a, s', r)_i\}_{i=1}^N$



$\pi_{\text{trained}}$

Challenge 1: Exploration vs Exploitation

Challenge 2: Non iid, highly correlated data

How to gather experience?

- ◆ What should  $\pi_{\text{gather}}$  be?
  - ◆ Greedy? -> no exploration, always choose the most confident action
$$\arg \max_a Q(s, a; \theta)$$
  - ◆ An exploration strategy:
    - ◆  $\epsilon$ -greedy

$$a_t = \begin{cases} \arg \max_a Q(s, a) & \text{with probability } 1 - \epsilon \\ \text{random action} & \text{with probability } \epsilon \end{cases}$$

- ◆ Samples are correlated => high variance gradients => **inefficient learning**
- ◆ Current Q-network parameters determines next training samples => can lead to **bad feedback loops**
  - ◆ e.g. if maximizing action is to move right, training samples will be dominated by samples going right, may fall into local minima

- ◆ Correlated data: addressed by using **experience replay**
  - A replay buffer stores transitions  $(s, a, s', r)$
  - Continually update replay buffer as game (experience) episodes are played, older samples discarded
  - Train Q-network on random minibatches of transitions from the replay memory, instead of consecutive samples
- ◆ Larger the buffer, lower the correlation

---

**Algorithm 1** Deep Q-learning with Experience Replay

---

Initialize replay memory  $\mathcal{D}$  to capacity  $N$ Initialize action-value function  $Q$  with random weights**for** episode = 1,  $M$  **do**    Initialise sequence  $s_1 = \{x_1\}$  and preprocessed sequenced  $\phi_1 = \phi(s_1)$     **for**  $t = 1, T$  **do**        With probability  $\epsilon$  select a random action  $a_t$   
        otherwise select  $a_t = \max_a Q^*(\phi(s_t), a; \theta)$ **Experience Replay****Epsilon-greedy**        Execute action  $a_t$  in emulator and observe reward  $r_t$  and image  $x_{t+1}$         Set  $s_{t+1} = s_t, a_t, x_{t+1}$  and preprocess  $\phi_{t+1} = \phi(s_{t+1})$         Store transition  $(\phi_t, a_t, r_t, \phi_{t+1})$  in  $\mathcal{D}$         Sample random minibatch of transitions  $(\phi_j, a_j, r_j, \phi_{j+1})$  from  $\mathcal{D}$         Set  $y_j = \begin{cases} r_j & \text{for terminal } \phi_{j+1} \\ r_j + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(\phi_{j+1}, a'; \theta) & \text{for non-terminal } \phi_{j+1} \end{cases}$ **Q Update**        Perform a gradient descent step on  $(y_j - Q(\phi_j, a_j; \theta))^2$  according to equation 3    **end for****end for**

---

# Atari Games




- ◆ **Objective:** Complete the game with the highest score
- ◆ **State:** Raw pixel inputs of the game state
- ◆ **Action:** Game controls e.g. Left, Right, Up, Down
- ◆ **Reward:** Score increase/decrease at each time step

Figures copyright Volodymyr Mnih et al., 2013. Reproduced with permission.

Case study: Playing Atari Games

# Atari Games



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=V1eYniJ0Rnk>

Case study: Playing Atari Games

# Different RL Paradigms

- ◆ **Value-based RL**
  - ◆ (Deep) Q-Learning, approximating  $Q^*(s, a)$  with a deep Q-network
- ◆ **Policy-based RL**
  - ◆ Directly approximate optimal policy  $\pi^*$  with a parametrized policy  $\pi_\theta^*$
- ◆ **Model-based RL**
  - ◆ Approximate transition function  $T(s', a, s)$  and reward function  $\mathcal{R}(s, a)$
  - ◆ Plan by looking ahead in the (approx.) future!

## Today, we saw

- ◆ **MDPs**: Theoretical framework underlying RL, solving MDPs
- ◆ **Policy**: How an agent acts at states
- ◆ **Value function (Utility)**: How good is a particular state or state-action pair?
- ◆ **Solving an MDP with known rewards/transition**
  - ◆ **Value Iteration**: Bellman update to state value estimates
  - ◆ **Q-Value Iteration**: Bellman update to (state, action) value estimates
- ◆ **Deep Q Learning**
  - ◆ Model Q value function with a deep NN!

Next Time: RL continued --- Policy  
Gradient and Actor-Critic